

**Daniel Lumley**  
**Employee**  
**661424**

[Employee-related decision Date: 10 February 2021](#)

**Decision - Employee-related decision**

Outcome: Control of non-qualified staff (Section 43 / Section 99 order)

Outcome date: 10 February 2021

Published date: 18 March 2021

**Firm details**

**Firm or organisation at time of matters giving rise to outcome**

Name: Gowling WLG (UK) LLP

Address(es): 4 More London Riverside London, SE1 2AU, England

Firm ID: 382961

**Outcome details**

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

**Decision details**

IN THE MATTER OF: Daniel Lumley of Birmingham, England, a person who is or was involved in a legal practice but is not a solicitor.

**ORDER**

To make an order pursuant to section 43 that with effect from the date of this decision:

- i. no solicitor shall employ or remunerate him in connection with his/her practice as a solicitor;
- ii. no employee of a solicitor shall employ or remunerate him in connection with the solicitor's practice;
- iii. no recognised body shall employ or remunerate him;
- iv. no manager or employee of a recognised body shall employ or remunerate him in connection with the business of that body;
- v. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit him to be a manager of the body; and



- vi. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit him to have an interest in the body

except in accordance with the SRA's permission.

### **Reasons/basis**

### **THE FACTS**

Between 19 October 1998 and 16 October 2018 Mr Lumley was employed as a travel assistant within the Business Services Team at Ingleby Services, a company wholly owned by Gowling WLG (UK) LLP.

Between January 2017 and June 2017, Mr Lumley received and cashed cheques totalling £490.80 that was monies due to Gowling WLG (UK) LLP.

Gowling WLG (UK) LLP dismissed Mr Lumley for gross misconduct on 16 October 2018.

### **FINDING**

Daniel Lumley, who is not a solicitor, is or was involved in a legal practice and has occasioned or been a party to an act or default which involved such conduct on his part that it is undesirable for him to be involved in a legal practice in any of the ways described in the order below.

[Search again \[https://www.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/\]](https://www.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/)